JAMES B. RENACCI
16TH DISTRICT, OHIO

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON HUMAN RESOURCES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON SOCIAL SECURITY

130 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-3876

1 PARK CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 302
WADSWORTH, OH 44281
(330) 334-0040

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515–3516

Establishing Beneficiary Equity in the Hospital Readmissions Program

Cosponsors: Bob Gibbs (OH), Tim Ryan (OH), Steve Chabot (OH), Marcy Kaptur (OH), Mike Turner (OH), Pat Tiberi (OH), Joyce Beatty (OH), David Joyce (OH), Steve Stivers (OH), Robert Latta (OH), Brad Wenstrup (OH), Bill Johnson (OH), Lou Barletta (PA), Pat Meehan (PA), Mike Kelly (PA), Scott Perry (PA), Jim Gerlach (PA), Michael G. Fitzpatrick (PA), Shelley Moore Capito (WV), David Reichert (WA), Eddie Bernice Johnson (TX), Sam Johnson (TX), Kenny Marchant (TX), Rodney Davis (IL), Tim Griffin (AZ), Charles Boustany (LA), Larry Buschon (IN), Todd Young (IN), Eliot Engel (NY), Tom Reed (NY), John Delaney (MD), John Carney (DE), Henry C. "Hank" Johnson Jr. (GA), David Scott (GA), Ron Barber (AZ), Doug LaMalfa (CA), Joe Heck (NV), Bennie G. Thompson (MS), Eric Paulsen (MN), Marc Veasey (TX), Michele Bachmann (MN), Pete King (NY), Derek Kilmer (WA), Gloria Negrete McLeod (CA), Adam Schiff (CA), Sheila Jackson Lee (TX), Dan Benishek (MI), Bill Shuster (PA), John Conyers Jr. (MI), Walter B. Jones (NC), David G. Valadao (CA), Collin C. Peterson (MN), Charles Rangel (NY), Yvette Clarke (NY), David McKinley (WV), Candice S. Miller (MI), Emanuel Cleaver (MO), Alan Lowenthal (CA), Gregory Meeks (NY), Mike Michaud (ME), Jim Costa (CA), Grace Meng (NY), Jose E. Serrano (NY), Mike Quigley (IL), and Aaron Schock (IL)

Supporting Organizations: Association of American Medical Colleges, Society of Hospital Medicine, American Hospital Association, Greater New York Hospital Association, Healthcare Association of New York State, America's Essential Hospitals, Strategic Health Care, National Association of Urban Hospitals, American Society of Transplant Surgeons, American Society of Transplantation

Dear Colleague:

The Hospital Readmission Reduction Program (HRRP) was created due to concerns that too few resources were being spent on reducing acute care hospital readmissions. HRRP penalizes hospitals based on the last three years of available readmission data compared to the national average. Since the creation of the HRRP, hospitals have employed many techniques to reduce their readmissions to avoid penalty, such as scheduling follow-up visits, utilizing case managers, and providing better post acute care coordination. While the HRRP has incentivized hospitals to reduce readmissions, there are some factors outside of a hospital's control that make it difficult for the patient to avoid readmission.

The current penalty methodology used in the HRRP has created an unintended consequence for hospitals that service our most vulnerable population—dual-eligible beneficiaries, low-income seniors, or young people with disability that are eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid. According to MedPac, an independent Congressional agency that advises Congress on issues affecting the Medicare program, hospitals servicing large shares of lower-income patients tend to have higher readmission rates and are more likely to pay readmission penalties. A study published by the U.S. National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health had a similar finding when it compared dual-eligible status across groups of hospitals.

The HRRP penalty calculation jeopardizes the viability of hospitals that service this vulnerable population, which is why I introduced the Establishing Beneficiary Equity in the Hospital Readmission Program. This legislation adjusts the penalty methodology for hospitals servicing larger amounts of dual-eligible beneficiaries and excludes patients with certain extenuating circumstances from the penalty calculation. Further, the legislation requires MedPac to study the appropriateness of the arbitrary 30-day readmission threshold and requires the Secretary to consider the use of V codes for potential exclusions.

Adjusting the penalty to account for certain disparities in patient population can make a big difference to hospitals across the country and the nine million dual-eligible beneficiaries that rely on these hospitals for their critical care needs. If you have any questions or would like to become an original cosponsor, please contact Alyssa Palisi in my office at 5-3876 or via email at alyssa.palisi@mail.house.gov.

Sincerely,

Jim Renacci
Member of Congress

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER